Approved For Release 2001/08/10: CIA-RDP63-00314R000100019100-1 Sropoued hotices and Pagnetions

Deputy Chief, St/I/R

7 March 1960

Ch/G/RR

Evaluation of Information Reports: Office Regulation R50-6/2(Draft)

- 1. In general it appears that the section of this proposed office regulation which deals with procedures is out of place in an Office Regulation. Paragraphs 4, 5, 6, 7 and 8 could be shortened considerably and in some cases omitted as they deal primarily with the internal workings of St/I/R and are of little interest to the evaluating offices.
- 2. Paragraph 4 describes the movement of a package consisting of a report to be evaluated and an evaluation report form for each evaluating office through a sequence of offices. The desirability for prompt evaluation has been indicated and a more prompt return of evaluations could be secured if each office were presented with its own copy of the report to be evaluated, simultaneously. The evaluation offices could be informed about the evaluation reports of the other offices by circulating a package consisting of copies of the completed evaluation reports.
- 3. Paragraph 5 concerns only St/I/R and its internal working arrangements and could be eliminated from this Office Regulation.
- 4. Paragraphs 4 and 6 seem to have the Reading Panel and St/I/R engaged in an operation that one or the other could do to the exclusion of the other.
- 5. The initial sentence in paragraph 6 seems to need some re-wording in order to bring out its meaning. Does St/I/R review suggested evaluating branches, a list of evaluating branches, or action for them?

## Approved For Release 2001/08/10: CIA-RDP63-00314R000100010100-1

677

- 6. The final sentence in paragraph 7 should remain in this regulation. The rest of the paragraph could be omitted as its objectives would be accomplished by the mechanism described in paragraph 5 and the reasons for it are well known to intelligence officers.
- 7. Paragraph 8 charges St/I/R with insuring that the evaluators comments and follow up questions reflect office interest. It appears most logical that the fact that the evaluator has commented and asked questions has already indicated office interest without the necessity for further determination of office interest by another component.

/5/ 25X1A9a

25X1A9a

ORR: Ch/G. : mnic/8887

1